ANALELE UNIVERSITĂȚII "DUNĂREA DE JOS" GALAȚI MEDICINĂ FASCICULA XVII, nr. 2, 2013

ORIGINAL STUDY

EPIDEMIOLOGICAL DATA REGARDING DENTAL TRAUMA FROM UPU-SMURD, DENTAL SERVICE, GALAȚI

Dan-Cristian Ionel¹, Rodica Luca¹, Costinela Georgescu²

¹UMF "Carol Davila", Bucharest, Romania

²Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, "Dunărea de Jos" University of Galati, Romania

dd.dani@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

This epidemiological study evaluated dentoalveolar trauma referrals and also their clinical type and distribution in different age groups of Galati region population. The time period was 3 years (2008-2011) and the survey took place in UPU-SMURD, Dental Service at "Sf. Apostol Andrei" emergency hospital, Galați. A retrospective study was used as research method. The epidemiological data were taken from the service's registry books. The statistical analysis was performed by Student's t test in SPSS 17.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Level of significance was set at p<0.05. Graphics were realized using MS Excel and SPSS 17.0. The evaluation group consisted of 326 people who suffered only dentoalveolar trauma or to whom there have been associated other lesions in the mentioned time period. The addressability for this kind of pathology was 1,84%. Dental luxation with II-III degree of mobility were most frequently diagnosticated at each group age. The hypothesis regarding frequential decreases of dentoalveolar trauma with aging was partially infirmed in this study. At first, the frequency increases, reaching a peak at 20-30 year old group, then indeed decreases with aging. The dentoalveolar trauma referrals at UPU-SMURD, Dental Service, Galați had a reduced frequency when compared to other pathology referrals, but not very different from other similiar services in the world.

KEYWORDS: dentoalveolar trauma, agression, emergency.

1. Introduction

Dentoalveolar trauma is frequently associated with youth. The epidemiologic studies of dentoalveolar trauma mainly sustain this hypothesis [1-4]. Moreover, these studies are frequently set upon limited age groups [2, 4]. The older age group studies are less approached, evan though 1 in 4 adults suffers dentoalveolar trauma before the age of 50 [5-7]. Furthermore, the severity and the number of dentoalveolar units involved, in this cases are more significant "childhood" trauma [8]. Regarding this aspect, a limited age group survey may inflict serious study error. As so there is the risk of not noticing/ omitting different lesions/ mechanisms or times of occurance of dentoalveolar trauma. For a relevant study, regarding the propused purpose it is necessary to evaluate an entire population, at all ages and day times. There are very few dental services obeying these conditions [7, 9]. The best matching service is the regional UPU-SMURD emergency service [10]. In Romania most of these services were founded recently, after 2007 for most regions. The data and subsequent studies on different medical departments are very few at the time beeing [11-13]. Regarding this fact the present study presents data in adition to the field of dentoalveolar trauma research in Romania. The survey took place in UPU-SMURD, Dental Service at "Sf. Apostol Andrei" emergency hospital, Galați, founded in september 2007. The dentoalveolar trauma prevalence, and also the type of dentoalveolar injury and group age distributions were evaluated.

2. Material and Methods

A retrospective study based upon some epidemiological data of dentoalveolar trauma patients was conducted in this paper. The data came from UPU-SMURD, Dental Service's registry books. The evaluation time period was 3 years (june 2008- june 2011).

Three variable groups were set, as so:

Sociodemographics (name, age, gender, adress (city or country side));

- Logistics (arrival time of day);

 Characteristics and nature of dentoalveolar trauma (clinical type, associated lesions, treatment or recommendations).

- The data acquirence, evaluation and statistical analysis were made strictly upon the data sets in the registry books. Regarding the etiology of dentoalveolar trauma 2 causes were mentioned: agression (fight) and other dentoalveolar trauma. As to injury type the following were mentioned:

 Hard dental tissue lesions (dental fracture(crown/ crown and root/ root fracture), fisure);

– Periodontal tissue lesions (subluxation, lateral luxation with I-II or II-III mobility degree, intrusion, extrusion, avulsion).

In order to achieve a proper statistical analysis

the study group was divided in 7 smaller age groups:

- 0-15 years;
- 15-20 years;

- and then from ten to ten years: 20 - 30 years; 30 - 40 years; 40 - 50 years; 50 - 60 years; 60 - 80 years.

The variables for each age group were: total number of patients, total number of lesions, total number of associated lesions and total number of lesions/ total number of patients ratio. The scoring was set from 1 to 7, depending on the variable value. In the case of equal variables, the lowest mark was scored and the upper one excluded. Example: there was an equal number of patients (49) at age groups 4 (30-40 years) and 5 (40-50 years). The 49 value was the 5-ith (lowest one was 31 (7-th's group (60-80 years) and the score for it was 1), and highest one was 74 (3-rd's group (20-30 years), and the score for it was 7). Both groups were scored 5 (instead of 5 and 6), 6-th score was excluded.

The statistical analysis was performed by Student's t test in SPSS 17.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Level of significance was set at p<0.05. Graphics were realized using MS Excel and SPSS 17.0.

3. Results and discussions

Prevalence study

In the 3 years of study there have been 17717 patients recorded and 326 dentoalveolar trauma cases. The addressability was 1,84%. There were 238 male patients and 88 female ones (2.7 ratio). Statistical significance among male-female groups was noticed (p<0,0001). At each age group male patients suffered more lesions than female ones (table I and figure 1).

The majority of patients lived in the city areas (between 58,33 and 85% at different age groups). Statistical significance was noticed between city and country side groups (p=0,03).

Age group	N (M/F)	% (M/F)
0-15 years	22 / 18	55 / 45
15-20 years	36 / 11	76,6 / 23,4
20-30 years	59 / 25	66,22 / 33,78
30-40 years	38 / 11	77,55 / 22,45
40-50 years	36 / 13	73,47 / 26,53
50-60 years	26 / 10	72,22 / 27,78
60-80 years	21 / 10	67,74 / 32,26
Total	238 / 88	73 / 27

Table I. Patient distribution according to sex



Figure 1. Male patient distribution

The time frames of most dental trauma reports (more than 50%) occured (at almost all age groups) between 6am- 12 am(T1) and 12 am- 6pm(T2). The T2 time frame was most frequent at the following age groups: 0-15, 20-30, 30-40 years (33,78 % to 44,9 %) and T1 time frame at the following age groups: 40-50,50-60,60-80 years (40,82 % to 47,22 %). (Figure 2) One age group (15-20 years) reported different frequent time frame : 6pm -12 am (T3).

Common etiology was agression (fight). The highest agression rate was noticed at 2-nd group (15-20 years): 78,72%, and the lowest rate at group 1 (0-15 years) : 32,5%. At the others groups the rate was ranged from 58,05% to 69,44%.

Total number of dentoalveolar trauma cases was 677. Upper central incisors (21 tooth most frequently) suffered the most lesions (225 cases), while lateral teeth trauma was less frequent. The number of lesions for individual teeth and their diferrent lesion types are shown in tables II and III.

Dentoalveolar diagnoses and distribution.

The severness of dental trauma, varying with number of dentoalveolar units affected (table II and IV) was the following: single affected units in more than 50% at age groups 6 and 7 (50-60 and 60-80 years). At the other groups multiple affected units scored values between 51,35% and 65%. No statistical significance was obtained between these groups (p=0,99). Associated lesions were found ranging between 5% at 0-15 age group to 23,40% at 15-20 age group.

Among the diagnostics (table III), teeth fracture (especially crown fractures) and dental luxations (especially the ones with II-nd to III-rd degree of mobility) are found in 87 % of all cases. Patients aged 20 to 30 years old (group 3) suffered the most dentoalveolar trauma cases : 151 (83 dental luxations, 59 dental fractures, 7 avulsions, 1 subluxation and 1 dental fisure). Dental luxations with II-nd to III-rd degree of mobility have been most frequently diagnosticated at each age group (values ranging from 55,55% to 72,4%), except the first 2 groups (0-15 and 15-20 years) where dental luxations with I-st to II-nd degree of mobility were more frequent (56,7% to 61,7%). Avulsions were 3-rd ranked (values ranging from 4,65% to 25 %). The other lesions were observed less frequent (under 5% at the age groups ratio).



Figure 2. Distribution of visits by tyme of day

To highlight the age group predisposed to dentoaeveolar trauma, based on the criteria and on the grading set, the following scores were obtained (score resulted from: total patients+ total lesions + injuries/ patient ratio+ number of other associated injuries non-dentoalveolar): Group 0-15year = 3 + 3 + 7 + 1 = 18, group 15-20years = 4+ 4+ 5+ 7 = 20, Group 20-30years = 7+7+ 3+ 6 = 23, Group 30-40years = 5+ 6+ 6+ 3 = 20, Group 40-50years = 5+ 5+ 4+ 4 = 18, Group 50-60 years = 2+ 2+ 2+ 4 = 10, Group 60-80years = 1+ 1+1+ 2 = 5 (figure 3).

Frequence/	25	22	20	18	17	15	11	10	9	8	7	6	5x	4x	3x	2x	1x
Age group	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	(~)	(~)	(~)	(~)	(cases)
	(~)	(~)	(~)	(~)	(~)	(~)	(~)	(~)	(~)	(~)	(~)	(~)					
0-15 years					21,					51,	22		12,		52,32,	62,	53,85,82,81,71
					11								41,		42	33	72,36,13,14,23
													31				
15-20 years	21	11		12				22			31			32	23	42,	46,35,17,
											41					13	15,24,27
20-30 years	21	11	22				12,		42			41	32	33,	46	14,	35,36,43,
-							31							23,		24,	44, 47, 48, 15
														13		25	
																26,	
																45	
30-40 years					21	11		12	22	41	31	42		23,	24,26	25,27,	15,16,17,28,35
														13,		14,43,	36,37,45,46,48
														32		33	
40-50 years					21	11		22	31	42,	41		32,	46	13	24,43	14,15,16,17,26
-										12			23			-	35,36,44,47
50-60 years								21			22		41,	32,	43,12	44	14,16,17,23,24
											11		31	42,			34, 35, 38, 45, 48
														33			
60-80 years										21,				22,	26,31	15,23,	14,25,32,
-														12		24,27,	33,34,35,
																43	41,44,45, 47,48
Legend: In the fir.	st row	there	e are la	isted	the n	umbe	r of t	imes	a too	oth w	as af	fecte	d and	in the n	ext rows	are the	7 age groups
(example : tooth 2	(example : tooth 21 suffered 17 injuries at age group 0-15 years and so on).																

Table III. Dentoalveolar	trauma type	and distribution
--------------------------	-------------	------------------

Age group (years)	Dental luxations I-II / II –III	Subluxations	Dental fractures FC/FR/FCR	Fisures	Avulsions	Intrusion/ Extrusion	Total			
0-15	24/06	0	24/0/1	0	7	1\2	05			
years	34\20	0	24/0/1	0	1	1\2	95			
15-20 years	29/18	0	44/1/1	2	7	0/0	102			
20-30 years	32/51	1	56/1/2	1	7	0/0	151			
30-40 years	16/42	0	22/6/0	0	24	0/0	110			
40-50 years	20/31	0	33/1/7	0	11	1\0	104			
50-60 years	9/19	0	15/1/3	0	16	0\1	64			
60-80 years	12/15	0	14/1/2	0	6	1/0	51			
TOTAL 326	152/202	1	208/11/16	3	78	3\3	677			
the 4-th t	Legend: 2-nd column reveals the ratio between I-II and II-III degree of mobility luxations and or the 4-th the ratio between fractures (crown/root/ crown and root)									

Age group (years)	Single dental lesion (N)	Single dental lesion (%)	Multiple dental lesions (%)	Multiple dental lesions (N)				
				26				
0-15				$(\underline{15}=2x+\underline{5}=3x+\underline{4}=4x+$				
years	14	9,58	14,45	1 = 5x + 1 = 7x)				
15-20				30				
years	17	11,64	16,67	$(\underline{18}=2x+\underline{8}=3x+\underline{2}=4x+\underline{2}=5x)$				
20-30				38				
years	36	24,65	21,11	$(\underline{23}=2x+\underline{7}=3x+\underline{7}=4x+\underline{1}=7x)$				
				29				
30-40				$(\underline{16}=2x+\underline{5}=3x+\underline{5}=4x+$				
years	20	13,69	16,11	2 = 6x + 1 = 7x)				
40-50				29				
years	20	13,69	16,11	$(\underline{15}=2x+\underline{8}=3x+\underline{4}=4x+\underline{2}=5x)$				
50-60				16				
years	20	13,69	8,88	$(\underline{12}=2x+\underline{3}=3x+\underline{1}=4x+\underline{1}=5x)$				
60-80				12				
years	19	13,04	6,67	$(\underline{6}=2x+\underline{3}=3x+\underline{2}=4x+\underline{1}=7x)$				
Total				180				
326				(102=2x+41=3x+25=4x+				
patients	146	100	100	$\underline{\underline{6}} = 5x + \underline{\underline{2}} = 6x + \underline{4} = 7x)$				
Legend: row there	Legend: In the last column between brackets is shown the distribution of each multiple case occurrence (Example: at first row there were 26 cases of multiple trauma. These were distributed as following: 15 cases with 2 affected teeth/ patient, 5							

Table IV. Single/ multiple dentoalveolar trauma distribution

cases with 3 affected teeth/ patient, 4 cases with 4 affected teeth/ patient, 1 case with 5 or 7 affected teeth/ patient).

4.Discussions

University of Sao Paulo, Brazil) [15].

Patients with dentoaveolar trauma accounted for 1.84% of all evaluated patients (prevalence of 0.05% of the total county population: 611 040). This low addressability (compared to other studies) may be argumented on the possible presentation of the patients directly at the Maxillofacial Surgery Service of the same hospital [14]. The less severe cases are treated more frequent in other private practices during their normal time schedule. Due to the recent founding of the UPU-SMURD- Dentistry services, comparative data on dentoalveolar trauma were not available from the specific literature (from Romania). Such reports were compared with data from international literature. A similar addressability (\approx 3%) was observed in other emergency services (Dental Emergency Service of Bauru Dental School,



Figure 3. Dentoalveolar trauma susceptibility

The ratio of male/female patients recorded in this study was 2,7: 1, similar to other results [16]. For different age groups, similar proportions were previously documented: in group 0-15 years [3, 15, 17] (\approx 51-54%); 15-20,20-30 years [18] (2.6: 1, only

frontal teeth evaluated); 15-20 years [19] (76.92%). Different results on different age groups were also recorded (0-15, 20-30, 30-40, 40-50, 50-60, 60 - 65 ani) [19].

People in city areas have suffered more frequent dentoalveolar trauma, as whole population group and individually, at each age group separately (similar results were previously obtained) [14].

The most documented dental trauma cases were recorded between 12am- 6pm (99/ 116/ 80/ 31), contrary to the data obtained by Zheng and al. [14].

Aggression (fight) was documented as the predominant etiology. Previous studies conducted in similar population groups (or similar age groups) contradict these data [7,16,17,19,20]. A possible cause for the frequency of this etiology could be the role of this emergency service as first confirming authority in the case of legal proceedings, subsequent to an aggression with dental trauma.

The number of dentoalveolar trauma was 2,08 per patient. A similar report was found in other works made in the emergency services in Australia (Newcastle and Sydney). [21] However, most patients suffered unique dental trauma (146 patients -44,79%), but the cumulative number of cases of multiple dental impairments (severness) resulted in the obtained proportion. Although this study was conducted in a hospital, hypothesis the (predominance of 2 injuries per patient) issued by Bastone et al. is not respected. The result tends to the category of prospective studies conducted in dental school clinics (prevalence of 1 trauma per patient) if we consider the previous case. A hierarchical result (similar number of dentoalveolar trauma per patient (patients who have suffered one trauma> 2 trauma> 3 trauma> 4 trauma) was obtained in other hospitals previously evaluated (Dental Department, Pakistan Institute of medical Sciences Islamabad), but with a lower total ratio (1.4) [19].

The number of cases with associated trauma

locally or generally was less than or about equal to that obtained in other studies (5-23% compared to 12% [14], 15% [26], respectively 50% [27]).

Dentoalveolar trauma were more commonly associated with maxillary central incisors (33.32% of cases - 17.57% tooth 21), similar to trends previously documented [3,7,15,25,28,29]. Frontal teeth have suffered the most injuries (78.3% - Table 3). This result is similar to previous data (Switzerland -70.03% - Table V) [7].

The most frequent lesion type in the registry books (table III and VI), at each age group was the dental luxation (al clinical types equalled 53,32%). Evan though luxations, as a group were first, crown fracture as a subgroup were most frequent at 2-nd and 3-rd age group and second frequent at the other age groups. The crown fracture ratio in this study was similar to general prerecorded tendencies [3,7,19,22,30].

The hypothesis regarding frequential decrease of dentoalveolar trauma with aging was partially infirmed in this study (figure 3) [31].

At first, the frequency increases, reaching a peak at 20-30 year old group, then indeed decreases with aging. As so 20-30 zear old group was the most affected (according to the evaluated criteria). The result was different from other surveys (30-40 years, 0-5 years, 9-11 years and 6-10 years) in other geographic regions [7,17,19,22], and similar to a Sydney region study [21] (18-23 years group), but in this last study only frontal teeth were evaluated.

5. Conclusions

The dentoalveolar trauma referrals at UPU-SMURD, Dental Service, Galați had a reduced frequency when compared to other pathology referrals, but not very different from other similiar services in the world.

Young people were more susceptible to

dentoalveolar trauma, especially between 15 and 30 years old. A possible correlation between age group (15-20 years)- etiology (agression) – time of the day (6 pm -12 am) can be assumed.

Epidemiological data from the present sudy offer only a general picture on dental trauma in Galati region. More concrete data could be offered through a more adequate managment of data recording process. Also patients should be recalled for follow-up appointments.

Table V. Dentoalveolar affected units

Dental	Incisor	Canines	Bicusp	Molars
group	s		ids	
Present	78,3	7,79	7,09	6,64
study (%)				
Bruner et	71,05	9,19	9,31	10,45
al.(%) [7]	,			

 Table VI. Clinical lesions and their frequence

Trauma	Dental luxation	Dental fracture	Avulsion
Present study (%)	53,32	34,71	11,52
Wood et al.(%) [22]	43,12	49,41	7,45
Meadow et al.(%) [27]	59,67	33,72	6,61

References

1. Díaz JA, Bustos L, Brandt AC, Fernández BE., Dental injuries among children and adolescents aged 1-15 years attending to public hospital in Temuco, Chile. Dent Traumatol. 2010 Jun;26(3):254-61.

2. Avşar A, Topaloglu B., Traumatic tooth injuries to primary teeth of children aged 0–3 years. Dent Traumatol 2009;25:323–7.

3. Cavalcanti AL, Bezerra PKM, De Alencar CRB, Moura C., Traumatic anterior dental injuries in 7- to 12-year-old Brazilian children. Dent Traumatol 2009; 25:198–202.

4. Marcenes W, Al Beiruti N, Tayfour D, Issa S., Epidemiology of traumatic injuries to the permanent incisors of 9-12-year-old schoolchildren in Damascus, Syria. Dent Traumatol 1999;15:117-123.

5. Sion G., Psihologia vârstelor. Ed a IV-a. București: Ed. Fundației România de Mâine; 2007.

6. Toroyan T, Peden M (eds)., Youth and Road Safety, Geneva, World Health Organization; 2007.

7. Brunner F, Karstl G, Filippi A., Dental trauma in adults in Switzerland. Dent Traumatol 2009;25:181-4.

8. De França Caldas A, and Burgos, MEA. A retrospective study of traumatic dental injuries in a Brazilian dental trauma clinic. Dent Traumatol 2001;17:250–3.

9. Locker D., Self-reported dental and oral injuries in a population of adults aged 18-50 years. Dent Traumatol 2007;23:291-6.

10. Luz JG, Di Mase F., Incidence of dentoalveolar injuries in hospital emergency room patients. Endod Dent Traumatol 1994 Aug; 10(4):188-90.

11. Popa F, Arafat R, Purcarea V, Lala A, Bobirnac G., Occupational burnout in emergency medicine–a nationwide study and analysis. JMedLife. 2010;3:325–31.

12. Wallach JB, Arafat R, Stanescu A and Nicoara C. Increased proportion of intracerebral hemorhage at emergency department presentation in Romania. International Journal of Stroke 2009;4:149–50.

13. Roșu ST., Intoxicațiile acute în unitatea de primire urgențe. Tratat de intoxicații acute. Iași: Ed. Institutul European; 2010.

14. Zeng Y ,Sheller B ,Miligrom P., Epidemiology of dental emergency visits to an urban children's hospital . Pediatric Dentistry 1994;16(4): 419-23.

15. Sakai VT et al., Urgency treatment profile of 0 to 15 yearold children assisted at urgency dental service from Bauru Dental School, University of São Paulo. J. Appl. Oral Sci. [online]. 2005;13(4):340-4.

16. Galea H., An investigation of dental injuries treated in an acute care general hospital. J Am Dent Assoc 1984;109:434-8.

17. Rezende FMC, Gaujac C, Rocha AC, Peres MPSM, A prospective study of dentoalveolar trauma at the Hospital das Clínicas, São Paulo University Medical School. Clinics [revista en la Internet] 2007;62(2):133-8.

18. Yagot KN, Nazhat NY, Kuder SA., Traumatic dental injuries in nursery schoolchildren from Baghdad, Iraq. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 1988; 16(5):292-3.

19. Noosheen AK, Halima SQ, Anser M, Iram A., Traumatic injuries of the permanent maxillory incisors at Dental Department, Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences Islamabad: a retrospective study. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2008; 20(3): 84-7.

20. Altun C, Ozen B, Esenlik E, Guven G, Gürbüz T, Acikel C, Basak F, Akbulut E., Traumatic injuries to permanent teeth in Turkish children, Ankara. Dent Traumatol 2009; 25: 309–13.

21. Martin IG, Daly CG, Liew VP., After-hours treatment of anterior dental trauma in Newcastle and western Sydney: a four-year study. Aust Dent J 1990;35:27-31.

22. Wood E, Freer T., A Survey of Dental and Oral Trauma in South-East Queensland During 1998. Australian Dental Journal 2002; 47:142–6.

23. Andreason JO, Andreason FM., Textbook and color atlas of traumatic injuries to the teeth. 3rd edn. Copenhagen:Munksgaard Publishers; 1994.

24. Liew VP, Daly CG., Anterior dental trauma treated afterhours in Newcastle, Australia. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 1986;14:362-6.

25. Bastone EB, Freer TJ, McNamara JR., Epidemiology of dental trauma: a review of literature. Aust Dent J 2000;45:2-9.

26. Ravn JJ., Dental injuries in Copenhagen schoolchildren, school years 1967–1972. Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology.1974; 2:231–45.

27. Meadow D, Lindner G, Needleman H., Oral trauma in children.Pediatr Dent. 1984; 6(4):248-51.

28. Assunção LR. Ferelle A, Iwakura ML, Nascimento LS, Cunha RF.Luxation injuries in primary teeth: a retrospective study in children assisted at an emergency service. Braz Oral Res. 2011 Mar-Apr;25(2):150-6.

29. Farcaşiu C, Farcaşiu AT, Munteanu A, Stanciu I, Luca R., Sports related trauma in mixed dention in Bucharest. Romanian J of Oral Reabiliation. 2012 Mar;4(1):59-63.

30. Kaba AS, Marechaux SC., A fourteen-year follow-up study of traumatic injuries to the permanent dentition. J Dent Child 1989; 56:417-425.

31. Turkistani J, Hanno A., Recent trends in the management of dentoalveolar traumatic injuries to primary and young permanent teeth. Dent Traumatol 2011; 27:46–54.